Agenda from previous meeting
>Individual (before meeting)
>>look at project plan
>>add to/modify plan as necessary
>>look at decided requirements
>>come prepared with questions - cheat sheet
>WebEx Meeting with sponsor
>>Reprioritization of requirements
>>Any questions team has for sponsor
>>Go over project plan (requirement for deliverable)
>Finish draft of the project plan based on sponsor's feedback
>Process methodology
>Process/product metrics

4:00 PM WebEx meeting start

Join a charity ride: Bring up points on map, show locations of people previously assigned to that group

Group's ratings mostly match Lee's ratings. Moved one feature up to medium priority, everything else is agreed on.

Find my friend: One of the least obvious in terms of how it would work
>There'd have to be some record on server of affinity groups
>User option to invoke tracking/location feature so that users in affinity group show up on map
>Come under other map options

Security issues for finding locations of people:
>Lee says not too worried about, might consider wrapping it
>Greater issue is making it so that only affinity groups have access to each other
>Need account registration/login to make this feature work
>Have some way of requesting a code? How to share codes?

Updates don't occur for several minutes at a time, FmF will either give last known location or ping the device for new location data.

Location data recorded as little as possible to avoid battery drain.

Map refreshing - architecturally, server has to send map updates to client. Lee says it needs to be on-demand, not server pushed at regular intervals.

Some features of tour might be very dynamic and require more frequent updates

Organizer might hit "send" once or twice or twenty times

Frequent updates not the feature in and of itself

Hypothetically if Google Earth could be the defacto editing platform, and a tour organizer wants to make a quick change, it would be great to go into the Google Earth file, make change, upload file, send out. All devices then receive update.
Can this file be put into a JSON and shipped out or does it need post-processing?

Making site usable for multiple tours down the list a way, more important to improve app and usage of app and make wider use cases

Photo contest - uploading to flickr account
Submission to flickr - goes through tour's account, no preset account to work in
Have to research how to add people to a flickr account
Might move into a lower priority status, Lee doesn't know where it stands.

Fellow traveler - has to do with "these are charities on the tour, would you like to support them". Involves pledging with text message, doesn't necessarily integrate with a FmF-like feature
Text donations very common for things like relief aid nowadays, registers through phone company (possibly with text confirmation)
Find my friend still higher priority

Issues with android including timing issues
based on developer opinion
more info in tracker logs

Filter map points: map control, enable various filters on side, ties into FmF feature
changed over time, just swipe now
openstreetmaps on devices themselves we're using leaflets for map control
standard UI where you swipe and get a menu

map itself: bunch of tiles, tour may or may not be rendered on it. If tour rendered on it, common KML file that contains tour and map points. right now, KML file has both together.

for certain areas like rest areas, lots of stuff indicated there. might be lots of points there. instead of seeing all those points, user clicks and gets a map and sees the layout of the area with just a map. not so helpful that it's on the top of the list, but still helpful. if you see points that have multiple things like a rest stop with 8 items it doesn't look great, can be improved but not high priority. break into two features? one is filter map points by type and the other is show pictures in map points (when clicking on map points on the map)
Lee will be creating more icons too

Show sponsors and rebates: potentially a non-feature because you can just create points that are sponsors (like creating rest areas), rebates can be shown as text

map can't be too big, cuts off very close to tour edge. Before tour starts people come from many areas so we need a bigger map. Tour map file would be a smaller area with fine grained detail while pre-tour map is bigger but not as detailed.
       Refresh is both KML file and map tiles

Load testing - how did last group test?
       Thing about this is that if we add more features we have to consider that in load testing
       Lee thinks load can be handled, more concerned with end-to-end testing

enhancement analytics
       some things are broke-broke, should at least fix those
              ex. battery thing
       all server-side, nothing is sent to the client
       in order of execution, might go to end of project timeline due to low priority
              less essential than user features
              don't want to make that many changes to client at the end, can always roll back a server version if analytics don't work out

when using jira plan will be fine grained, individual features will often have multiple task listings

of greyed features - Lee really likes scavenger hunt, although acknowledges that it is not a small feature
       not too bad device-side, can all be done in cordova
       record answers in backend and figure out which are most correct
       worry about this later, do requirements work to figure out how difficult it really is

One thing lee was thinking of, not a big feature - another task
       have map/guide/about/camera tabs for tour pictures
       thinking of another tab that would be open
       very basic framework, series of pictures that can be clicked
       makes the app extensible
       ex. other tab could be coupons, users would open it up and pick coupons (borrow guide functionality)
       support tour creating open platform for their own graphics, specific maps, etc
       Lee will send an email describing the feature

5:05 PM WebEx meeting end
Product/process metrics

Requirements volatility - percentage change in requirements

Estimation accuracy - actual effort vs estimated effort