Senior Project Final Reflection Meeting Summary

Team: Team Kwondo

Project: Tioga Tae Kwon Do Student Management System

Sponsor: Paul Mittan

Note: The following document has some of the questions from our self assessment copied over as they were targeted discussions. This conversation was had over food with 7 people, so a lot of stated information was not recorded. Overall the meeting was very nice, with the consensus being that everyone is happy with the end result, especially the sponsor.

Product

1. **How well did the team elicit the requirements? What approaches were used to elicit the requirements? Were key requirements missed? What methodology was used to document and validate the project requirements?**

   Scott - Paul had a good idea of his requirements, and that helped alot.

2. **How has the development and implementation progressed? What percentage of the product do you estimate was completed? Is the team providing the documentation within the implementation artifacts?**

   Paul agreed that development went well and so did Scott. Paul brough up that his only disappointment was the Google Calendar after we had sold him on it.

3. **What was the team's testing strategy? Did the team develop a test plan? If so, was it followed? Did the team performing unit testing? Did the team use any test frameworks, such as JUnit? What are the testing results? Were any major defects found during system test? If so, were they fixed? Did the team do regression testing?**

   Mike - I think that the team would make good use of the tests if we were to keep testing.

   Andrew - Test coverage could have been better.

   Nick - In the fall semester it was definitely something we lacked on.

   Scott - Do you guys think that not testing in the first semester burned you guys? Nick and Mike said yes, that they would have liked to start earlier.

   Scott - Asked for total count, and Nick brought up metrics and explained the totals and we talked about how the live user testing in iteration 9 brought out a good portion of

them.

Paul - How did you guys prioritize the bugs as you found them? It would have been nice if your issue tracker allows you to do priority.

Scott - It also could have been helpful to track how bugs had an impact, like on design and effort.

Process

1. **What was your process methodology? Was the process appropriate for the project? Did you follow the process or modify it as the project progressed? If you could repeat the project, what would you do differently?**

   Nick - I think we all liked evolutionary delivery and that it worked well. Team agreed.

   Andrew - We kind of broke out of it a little by adding requirements during some iterations.

   Scott - You guys also add your architecture design up front, but ended up doing a lot of implementation design during the iterations.

General discussion

Scott - What would you have done differently if you could do it again

- Not suggesting google calendar
- Testing earlier
- More detailed wireframes up front
- Deployment knowledge should have been shared

Scott - I think you all did a great job, I really do. You all worked well with each other.

Paul - You guys made me think about some security stuff, and convinced me.

Paul -

- "One of the best project teams I've ever sponsored"
- Hard pressed to find something that could have been done better

Senior Project
Final Reflection Meeting Summary