



Testing (revisited) & Release

V & V

- *Verification* refers to the set of tasks that ensure that software correctly implements a specific function.
- *Validation* refers to a different set of tasks that ensure that the software that has been built is traceable to customer requirements. Boehm [Boe81] states this another way:
 - *Verification*: "Are we building the product right?"
 - *Validation*: "Are we building the right product?"

Testing Phases

- Unit Testing
 - Developer tests individual modules
 - Usually glass box
- Integration testing
 - Put modules together, try to get them working together
 - Integration testing is complete when the different pieces are able to work together
- System testing
 - Black-box testing of entire deliverable against specs
- ***Acceptance testing***
 - Testing against user needs, often by the user

Inspecting compared to testing

- Both testing and inspection rely on different aspects of human intelligence.
- Testing can find defects whose consequences are obvious but which are buried in complex code.
- Inspecting can find defects that relate to maintainability or efficiency.
- The chances of mistakes are reduced if both activities are performed.

Testing or inspecting, which comes first?

- It is important to inspect software *before* extensively testing it.
- The reason for this is that inspecting allows you to quickly get rid of many defects.
- If you test first, and inspectors recommend that redesign is needed, the testing work has been wasted.
 - There is a growing consensus that it is most efficient to inspect software *before any* testing is done.
- Even before developer testing

The test-fix-test cycle

- When a failure occurs during testing:
 - Each failure report is entered into a failure tracking system.
 - It is then screened and assigned a priority.
 - Low-priority failures might be put on a *known bugs list* that is included with the software's *release notes*.
 - Some failure reports might be merged if they appear to result from the same defects.
 - Somebody is assigned to investigate a failure.
 - That person tracks down the defect and fixes it.
 - Finally a new version of the system is created, ready to be tested again.

The ripple effect

- There is a high probability that the efforts to remove the defects may have actually added new defects
 - The maintainer tries to fix problems without fully understanding the ramifications of the changes
 - The maintainer makes ordinary human errors
 - The system *regresses* into a more and more failure-prone state

Regression testing

- It tends to be far too expensive to re-run every single test case every time a change is made to software.
- Hence only a subset of the previously-successful test cases is actually re-run.
- This process is called *regression testing*.
 - The tests that are re-run are called regression tests.
- Regression test cases are carefully selected to cover as much of the system as possible.
- The “law of conservation of bugs”:
 - *The number of bugs remaining in a large system is proportional to the number of bugs already fixed*

Deciding when to stop testing

- All of the level 1 (“critical”) test cases must have been successfully executed.
- Certain pre-defined percentages of level 2 and level 3 test cases must have been executed successfully.
- The targets must have been achieved and are maintained for at least two cycles of ‘builds’.
 - A *build* involves compiling and integrating all the components.
 - Failure rates can fluctuate from build to build as:
 - Different sets of regression tests are run.
 - New defects are introduced.

Who Tests the Software?



developer

**Understands the system
but, will test "gently"
and, is driven by "delivery"**



independent tester

**Must learn about the system,
but, will attempt to break it
and, is driven by quality**

The roles of people involved in testing

- The first pass of unit and integration testing is called *developer testing*.
 - Preliminary testing performed by the software developers who do the design.
- *Independent testing* may be performed by separate group.
 - They do not have a vested interest in seeing as many test cases pass as possible.
 - They develop specific expertise in how to do good testing, and how to use testing tools.

Test planning

- Decide on overall test strategy
 - What type of integration
 - Whether to automate system tests
 - Whether there is an independent test team
- Decide on the coverage strategy for system tests
 - Compute the number of test cases needed
- Identify the test cases and implement them
 - The set of test cases constitutes a “test suite”
 - May categorize into critical, important, optional tests (level 1, 2, 3)
- Identify a subset of the tests as regression tests

Testing performed by users and clients

- *Alpha testing*
 - Performed by the user or client, but under the supervision of the software development team.
- *Beta testing*
 - Performed by the user or client in a normal work environment.
 - Recruited from the potential user population.
 - An *open beta release* is the release of low-quality software to the general population.
- *Acceptance testing*
 - Performed by users and customers.

Packaging for Delivery

- Software we deliver to the user must include
 - Executable in a convenient format e.g. EXE, JAR file
 - Release notes
 - User documentation: instructions on usage
 - Tutorials, user manuals, “getting started” instructions
 - Installation instructions
- May create “installables”
 - Compressed packages e.g. zip files, tar files
 - Scripts that automate installation procedures

Cross-team Testing

- In this project, we will do cross-team testing
 - Each team will serve as “independent test team” for another team
- Package your R2 software for delivery to other team
 - Create a JAR file, README with execution instructions, requirements doc and acceptance test doc
 - Designate someone in your team to serve as support contact
- Run acceptance test cases on received software, check for pass/fail
 - If failed, note down what inputs were and how it failed
- Perform any other testing you consider necessary
 - Can add missed test cases to acceptance test doc
 - Can include any other comments at bottom of acceptance test doc
- Deliver cross-team test report to other team
- Objective: To test the software thoroughly, help other team produce better software