Engineering Secure Software

BLACK BOX TESTING

The Power of Objectivity

- Black box testing
 - No knowledge of the source code
 - Cursory knowledge of the architecture and protocols
 - Often performed by a third-party
- Why limit our own knowledge?
- Objectivity
 - Different blind spots than the developers
 - Assumptions are closer to attackers
- Assessment
 - Persuasive to upper management and customers
 - If a naïve tester can get this far, who else can get this far?

Exploratory & Penetration Testing

- Exploratory testing
 - Scope: entire attack surface
 - Goals
 - Enumerate areas of attack
 - Find that low-hanging fruit
 - Mostly outer defenses
 - Drawback: results not always actionable
- Penetration testing
 - Scope: small area of the attack surface
 - Goals:
 - Focus intently on a few features
 - Determine exploitability (construct actual exploits)
 - Evaluate defense in depth
 - Drawback: proof by existence lack of vulnerabilities found != lack of vulnerabilities

Areas of Expertise

- Know the environment
 - Networking (webapps, low-level protocols)
 - Operating systems (mobile, desktop, & server)
 - Database management
- Know where to look
 - "Where there's smoke, there's fire"
 - Bug in security feature is often a vulnerability
 - Common assumptions
- Recognize a potential vulnerability
 - Vague, system error messages
 - Strange behavior
- Constructing exploits

In Practice...

- Exploratory and penetration testing are done simultaneously
 - Start with exploratory testing
 - Drill down with penetration testing
- When teams have security problems, they often just hire pen-testers
 - Despite this being the least efficient approach (and too late)
 - Many people confuse security expertise with penetration testing expertise
- Requires many hours of practice
 - Start with known vulnerabilities
 - How could I have recognized it?
 - Try to construct an exploit for it
 - Build your own tools

Exploratory: Fuzz Testing

Goal:

- Reveal the attack surface
- Look for candidates of pen-testing
- Examine how the system reacts, without going too deep

Simulate the user

- Reverse-engineer common behavior
 - Record a normal sequence of operations
 - Identify & modify the inputs
- Explore beyond common behavior
- Automate the process

Output:

- Vulnerability candidates
- Automatically constructing exploits is usually too much work

Tools of Exploratory Testing

- Web applications
 - HTML viewers & parsers: Firebug, developer tools
 - Automate common operations: Greasemonkey
 - Web client tampering tools
- Networking
 - Discover local ports: netstat
 - Discover remote ports: nmap
 - Sniff the network: Wireshark, ngrep, tcpdump
- Operating system
 - Find open file handles: Isof
 - Process tree: ps

Tools of Penetration Testing

- Reverse engineering
 - Disassembly & Decompilation: IDA-pro, javap,
 - Debuggers: gdb
- Networking
 - Proxies: for intercepting & tampering traffic
 - Custom fault injectors
- Password cracking
 - Online guessing
 - Offline cracks
- Buckets of tools
 - Metasploit: database of specific exploits
 - BackTrack: Linux distribution with tons of tools
 - http://sectools.org/

Before You Start

- Define what is sensitive
 - Test data: e.g. config files, sensitive records
 - Environment information: e.g. server versions
 - Database schema
- Control the environment
 - e.g. use your own server
 - Be ready to try different configurations
 - Use virtualization to contain your exploits
- Ask for the keys
 - To study defense in depth
 - e.g. multiple authorizations to a test system
- Be ready to dive in... this will take a while

Don't Forget the Feedback!

- Transfer your knowledge back to developers
 - Highlight the assumptions that they made
 - Discuss what could have been done to avoid it
- Don't just poke holes, help with the mitigation
 - Egos are often bruised, at that point it's time to help
 - Fix the vulnerability, not block the exploit
- Focus on process improvement
 - Checklists for future inspections
 - Newly-identified assets
 - Produce tests for similar features