# Introduction to Transactions

4010-441

Principles of Concurrent System Design

### **Transaction Background**

- Originated in the database world.
- Very recently transferred to the internal memory world.
- Definition:

A unit of work within a system to be treated in a coherent, correct and reliable way independent of other transactions.

- Basic purposes:
  - Provide reliability
    - Correct recovery from failures
    - Ensure system consistency
  - Provide isolation
    - No two threads / programs accessing the database should see "in-work" state of another thread / program.
    - Composite actions on the system's data are performed atomically.

## Two Approaches

- Pessimistic
  - Lock all data items involved in the transaction:
    - May use read and write locks to distinguish role in the transaction.
    - Care on lock acquisition: Possibility of deadlock.
  - Perform the transformation.
  - Save the results.
  - Release the locks.
- Optimistic
  - Assume collisions are rare.
  - Duplicate items altered in local store (somehow).
  - Track items read but not written (somehow).
  - At end of transaction, perform a **commit** operation.
    - Success if no items used have changed since transaction start.
    - Abort if at least one item used has changed since transaction start.

## **ACID** Properties of Transactions

#### Atomic

Either all of the operations in the transaction succeed or none of the operations persist.

### Consistent

If the data are consistent before the transaction begins, then they will be consistent after the transaction finishes.

#### Isolated

The effects of a transaction that is in progress are hidden from all other transactions.

#### Durable

When a transaction finishes, its results are persistent and will survive a system crash.

Source: MSDN (http://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/aa366402(VS.85).aspx)

## Atomicity

- AKA: Indivisibility or irreducibility.
- Prevents partial database / data structure update.
- Example #1: Booking seats on a set of connecting flights.
  - Successfully getting all but one flight is unacceptable.
  - Thus, the actions involved in getting the seats must be done as a unit.
- Example #2: Funds transfer
  - Withdrawal and deposit are a unit.
  - One but not the other is a windfall for one of the parties.
- Implementation:
  - Transaction code runs w/o locking.
  - Brief lock at the end to commit the result.
  - Or, if commit fails, rollback (undo) any temporary changes.

## Consistency

- Predictable changes to system state.
- Usually with respect to distributed, replicated data.
- Contract between developer and system:
  - IF developer follows the rules.
  - **THEN** memory will be consistent and memory operations predictable.
- Example:
  - A database row is replicated on two nodes.
  - A client writes a new version of the row on node #1.
  - After time period *t*, client B reads the row from node #2.
- Consistency model determines which row version B sees (preferably the updated one) and why.
- Example: the *happens after* relation in the JVM defines the consistency guarantees in the Java memory model.

## Isolation

- Isolation levels: When do changes from a transaction become visible.
- Serializable (strongest guarantees)
  - W/ locks, any read or write locks are not released until the data are updated. Strongest guarantee, problematic performance.
  - W/O locks, locking done only at the end for a short burst. Write collisions are possible, leading to commit failures.
- Repeatable reads
  - Hold read & write locks throughout transaction.
- Read committed
  - Hold read locks until data initially accessed (may lead to different data if re-read).
- Read uncommitted
  - May see changes from uncommitted concurrent transaction.

## Durability

- Permanent survival of committed transactions.
- If seat is booked, it remains booked even in face of failure.
- One approach:
  - When decide commit shall proceed, write the transaction log to nonvolatile storage.
  - Then do the commit.
  - On failure, just play back the log.

## **ACID Failure Examples**

Assume two integers, X and Y that must always sum to 100.

Atomicity failure

Subtract 10 from X but unable to access Y - if allowed through this violates atomicity (and the constraint).

### **Consistency failure**

Assume transaction tries to add 1.5 to X, or to only change Y. Atomicity is not violated, but the constraint defining validity is.

#### **Isolation failure**

 $T_1$  transfers 10 from X to Y and  $T_2$  transfers 10 from Y to X, but the transactions are not isolated.

The possible race condition between  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  is a potential cause of an isolation failure.

### **Durability failure**

Transaction tries to move 10 from X to Y. Subtract 10 from X, add 10 to Y, and report success. However, if the changes are in a memory buffer and power fails, the transaction is never completed.

## **Multi-version Concurrency Control**

- Updates not performed by overwriting existing data.
- Instead, old data marked obsolete, and a new version is added.
- The data values themselves never change only the identity of the "current" value.
- Provides "point in time" consistency:
  - Versions identified by sequence number or time stamp.
  - Fewer, shorter locks as only identity pointers (refs) must be changed.
- For a transaction:
  - Record the version of all objects it writes (possibly reads).
  - Changes are made to a "secret" copy of these objects.
  - At end of transaction, abort if versions of any referenced objects have changed.
  - Otherwise, atomically install the altered objects to define the next version.
- Note: This is the basic mechanism underlying Subversion.

## Software Transactional Memory

- STM An approach to simplifying concurrency.
- Early definition in Clojure (which actually emphasizes functional behavior and immutability).
- Clojure mutation philosophy:
  - *Identities*: Stable logical entity associated with different values over time.
  - Identities have a *state* at any point in time.
  - The state is an immutable value.
  - Even aggregates: I have a set of foods I like; if I change my preferences, this is a different set (not a change to the existing set).
- Clojure identities:
  - Refs to values (ref = fixed identity, value = current state associated with the identity).
  - Refs can change but only under atomic guarantees.

## **Clojure Mutation**

- Must be performed in a dosync
- (def balance (ref 0))
  - Identity is balance.
  - @balance is the value currently associated with identity balance.
- (ref-set balance 100)
  - Attempt to set balance to 100.
  - Fails must ensure atomicity.
- (dosync

(ref-set balance 100))

• (dosync

(alter balance + 100) (alter balance - 50))

### dosync vs synchronized

- Similar at first glance, but quite different
- STM encourages concurrency by letting threads compete fairly with other threads via wrapping in transactions.
- No explicit locking is done, no lock ordering, means deadlock free concurrency.
- Developer not responsible for designating blocks of code to be locked (synchronized).
- Concurrency driven by application behavior and data access.