

Concurrency & Collections

Outline

- Immutable collections
- Synchronized collections
- Concurrent collections
- Blocking collections

Immutable Collections

- The **Collections** framework provides factories to create immutable (unmodifiable) collections.
 - **static X unmodifiableX(X c)**
 - Where X can be `Collection`, `List`, `Map`, `Set`, `SortedMap`, `SortedSet`
- Only the *collection*, not the *elements* in it, are protected.
- Underlying collection still can change “under your feet.”

What are the classes of the objects returned by these factories?

Do these interfaces have state modifying methods?

How can immutability be maintained?

Synchronized Collections

- The Collections framework also provides factories to create *synchronized collections*.
 - **static X synchronizedX(X c)**
 - Where X can be Collection, List, Map, Set, SortedMap, SortedSet

If we simply wrap synchronized methods around the collection will that be enough, or do we have to impose additional rules? Do we need similar rules for the unmodifiable collections?

```
List list = Collections.synchronizedList(new ArrayList()) ;
```

```
. . .  
synchronized (list) {  
    Iterator i = list.iterator() ;  
    while ( i.hasNext() ) {  
        doSomething(i.next()) ;  
    }  
}
```

**What type of problem does this code exhibit?
Why? How can it be fixed?**

Considering Immutable and Synchronized Collections

Is there be any sense in wrapping an immutable collection with synchronization?

Is there be any sense in wrapping a synchronized collection with immutability?

Synchronized collections may have performance issues because all access is serialized.

- Issues are independent of whether:
 - a. We use a synchronized collection factory or
 - b. We do the synchronization ourselves
- The issues may have to do with embedded, complex collection algorithms.
- Concurrent collections provide carefully defined, high performance algorithms with short-lived locks.

If we want to allow non-serialized concurrency, we have to relax some requirements, or somehow allow concurrent access.

Consider a LinkedList. How could we allow concurrent modification of the list (set value, addition, deletion)?

What are the issues with Iterators in the face of concurrent access? How could they be designed to work?

What could we say about the value returned by a size method?

The blocking queue supports a producer-consumer pattern.

Exception generating

boolean add(E e)	adds to end of queue	Exception if no room.
E remove()	1 st element with removal	Exception if queue empty.
E element()	1 st element w/o removal	Exception if queue empty.

Non-blocking w/special return value

boolean offer(E e)	adds to end of queue	false if no room.
E poll()	1 st element with removal	null if queue is empty.
E peek()	1 st element w/o removal	null if queue is empty.

Blocking

void put(E e)	adds to end of queue	Waits until room.
E take()	1 st element with removal	Waits if empty.

Timeout

boolean offer(**E** e, **long** t, **TimeUnit** u)
E poll(**long** t, **TimeUnit** u)

Note: offer & poll with timeout, put, and take can throw
InterruptedException

Java provides many different types of blocking queues from basic to enhanced.

- `ArrayBlockingQueue<E>`
- `LinkedBlockingQueue<E>`
- `PriorityBlockingQueue<E>`
 - Elements ordered by comparison
- `DelayQueue<E extends Delayed>`
 - Elements ordered by delay; not available until after delay expires
- `SynchronousQueue<E>`
 - 0 length queue, producer and consumer must exchange data
- `LinkedTransferQueue<E>`
 - Unbounded, producer can wait for consumer to get data

Interface ConcurrentMap<K, V>

Map<K, V> with atomic

boolean remove(K key, V value)

Remove **key** & **value** iff **key** maps to **value**.

boolean replace(K key, V oldValue, V newValue)

Replace **key** with **newValue** iff **key** is mapped to **newValue**.

V replace(K key, V value)

Replace **key** with **value** iff **key** is mapped to something.

Return previous value (or **null** if there was no map).

V putIfAbsent(K key, V value)

Associate **key** with **value** if the **key** is not currently mapped.

Returns **null** if the put succeeded, otherwise the currently mapped value.

One implementing class: ConcurrentHashMap<K, V>

Highly optimized for concurrent thread-safe access to the map data structure.

A Sampling of Other Interfaces & Classes

Double Ended Queues (Dequeues)

Interface BlockingDeque & Class LinkeBlocking Deque

- addFirst offerFirst putFirst offerFirst (with timeout)
- removeFirst pollFirst takeFirst pollFirst (with timeout)
- getFirst peekFirst
- addLast offerLast putLast offerLast (with timeout)
- removeLast pollLast takeLast pollLast (with timeout)
- getLast peekLast

Classes

ConcurrentLinkedQueue<E>

Fine granularity locks

Low latency

CopyOnWriteArrayList<E>

CopyOnWriteArraySet<E>

When traversals much more frequent than mutations.

Snapshot style iteration

Read the javadocs for full information!